MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Contral Extelligence

SHELLET

- or yI Comments on the FCS Memorandem for the Special Group (CI), "Intelligence Requirements for Counterinsurgency," and its enclosure, "Essential Elements of Information for Counterinsurgency"
- I. It is recommended that the subject memorandum and its emelosure be noted by the USIS and referred to the member agencies for use by those components which are concerned with the development of intelligence requirements in support of counter-insurgency.
- 2. The chief use of the emelorure, "Resential Elements of Information for Counterinsurgency," would appear to be as a preliminary analytical tool for those with operational planning respectivilities in this field, to sealer them in forwarding their anticipe; of intelligence needs.
- J. In its present form the enclosure should not be regarded so "a present and continuing high priority sold war intelligence requirement," as proposed in the last paragraph of the JCS memorandum. We choose that in ferranding it to the BCI, the Special Group (CI) did not endance it as such but merely expressed a desire that "the memorandum be referred to the Voited States Intelligence Board for whatever notion the numbers may consider appropriate."
- A. The "Essential Elements of Information" is an abstract checklist of the types of information which should be considered in the formulation of specific intelligence requirements moded for the planning and exception of counter-insurgency actions. Its value lies in the sper which it may give to the thinking of persons developing such requirements. It would be inappropriate for the WIB to give it afficial community-wide status, for the following reasons:
 - a. This is not a requirement in the strict sense at all. It is a type of furnitation that is would in the process of developing requirements.

BEST COPY Available THROUGHOUT FOLDER

LLEGIB

this paper should be related to the Priority Reticuel Intelligence Objectives and should receive their priorities from them. If there is a conflict, the issue of revising the Follow should be faced head-on.

- e. The elements of this paper do not exist on the same level of priority. The more fact that counter-insurgency is a subject of great importance does not mean that all the information pertinent to it is sutcontically of high priority in an intelligence context.
- d. Pefore this decement can be of great practical value in the intelligence community, it will be necessary to discriminate, country by country, betweens
 - (1) Information already on file.
 - (2) Information obtainable evertly.
 - (?) Information requiring clandestine collection
 - (a) and already being collected; or
 - (b) not now being collected.
- believe that the number of max relication requirements necessary will be seen to be such less than would appear at first. Since counter-during easy draws on virtually all knowledge of the country concerned, the really essential questions are how to explain the knowledge we already have, and how to identify the gaps to be filled. This paper ensures neither question.
- 5. These points are taken from the standpoint of the intelligence community. From the FI standpoint, we are particularly concerned lest we be presented with a list of "high priority" questions, many of which do not by any means coil for the use of clandestime collection assets.

STAT

Chief Fereign Intelligence

4 2 m